Approved For Release 2006/07/28 : GIA RDP80B01676R000400110002-6 TUP SECRET

TS 185875

The Honorable Dean Rusk The Secretary of State The Department of State 23 July 65

Dear Dean!

I trememit herewith our most recent Special Sational Intelligence Estimate 10-9-65 which estimates foreign reactions, particularly those of the DRV, China, and the USBR, to certain US military courses of action in Vietnam. These courses are set forth in appropriate detail on the first and second pages of the document under the heading "Assumptions."

I invite your special attention to item (c) on page two: "... we might either continue present policy with regard to mir strikes or extend these strikes in North Victoria to include attacks on land (but not sen) communications from South Chine

This effort to interrupt the flow of military supplies from China to North Vietnem and the southern areas of contat recalls similar efforts and their concemitant difficulties in other theatres of operations and in other time frames. It is my feeling that a close study of our efforts to isolate battlefields in World War II (especially the campaign in Italy) and in the Korean war through the interdiction of land communications by mir attacks contain many valuable lessons. Matters such as the retionale of initial target selection; the handling capacity of relevant routes and the proportion of this capacity needed for purely military traffic; the recuperability of targets once demaged; the energ's use of lash-up regulars, detours, and alternate routes; the relationship between the interdiction of a given route or routes and the level of the enemy's combat effectiveness, and so on, may be greatly illustrated by my suggested reference to history.

185875

Chaut Chaut of the Chaute of the Cha

We should bear two things in mind: first, whereas these lessons of the past will be useful, I am sure that they will not be strictly applicable to the much more difficult problem of interdicting overland supply in the ladochima area (ordinary levels of combat expenditure are comparatively small, manpover for repair and portage service is almost certainly not in short supply, and route capacities are probably much larger than those necessary for strictly military requirements); second, these lessons will obviously not be applicable to seathers traffic which — if not right now of major importance borns traffic which — if not right now of major importance to the DNV/VC — would become so as soon as the squeeze on overland movement began to be felt.

Sincerely,

W. F. Haborn

ey of SNIE 10-9-65. 22 July 65- attd - 185875

